Charter Review Committee: Procurement and Purchasing Subcommittee Meeting March 16th, 2018 8:30 AM

Minutes:

Meeting Attendees:

- Ernst Valery
- Navin Dass
- Jacob Wittenberg
- Michael Schrock
- Erin Sher Smyth
- Becca Scharf (Call-In)

- Harriette Taylor
- Alex McGuire (Call-In)
- Dominic Lamartina
- Elena DiPietro
- Laetitia Griffin

Topics Discussed:

- The Subcommittee approved the minutes from the 3/9 meeting.
- The Subcommittee discussed the following topics:
 - Finalizing our position on formal advertisements.
 - Should we come up with a new standard to replace the "10-90 Rule"?
 - Should the Charter state a preference for electronic bidding?
 - Should the Board of Estimates be given primary authority over setting dollar thresholds for formal bidding as long as the City Council is allowed to review their decision?
 - Should we look to providing alternatives to the "Low Bidder-High Score" method of awarding contracts?
 - Should the issue of tie bids be resolved by the procuring agency or the using agency?
 - Should using agencies be allowed to determine sole/selected sources?
- Next Steps were discussed:
 - Finalize and vote on all draft language that we intend to propose to the Coordinating Committee
- The meeting was adjourned at 9:59 AM.
- The next meeting is scheduled for Friday, March 23rd from 8:30 AM to 10 AM in Conference Room 3.

COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST FOR MEETINGS SUBJECT TO THE MARYLAND OPEN MEI

Name of public body Procurement and Purchasing Subcommittee Did you give "reasonable advance notice" and keep a copy or sc: Did you make an agenda available when notice was posted, or, as soon as practicable, but at least 24 hours before the meeting? Did you make arrangements for the public to attend? Is someone prepared to keep minutes in writing or, otherwise, to minutes in the form of live and archived video or audio streamin 5. If part of this meeting might be closed to the public, have you fir Made sure that the public body designated a member to tal Act? (eff. 10/1/17) Made sure that the topic to be discussed falls entirely withi the 14 "exceptions" that allow the closed session? (see over Given notice of the open meeting to be held right before th so that the presiding officer can hold the required public ve Made sure that the initial open meeting will be attende designated to take training in the Act, and, if a designated attend, made sure that the public body is ready to complete checklist at the open meeting and keep it to attach to the 10/1/17) Equipped the presiding officer to prepare a written sta required disclosures? (for a model form with inst http://www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov/Pages/OpenGov. default.aspx) Equipped the presiding officer to limit the closed session exceptions and topics cited on the written closing statemer. Arranged for closed-session minutes to be kept and adopte Equipped someone in the closed session to keep a record information that must be disclosed in the minutes of the ne (for the list, see the model closing statement). For a meeting recessed to hold a closed administrative sess disclose, in the minutes of the next open meeting, the date. persons present, and subjects discussed? Have you arranged for the preparation, the adoption as soon as pr online if practicable, of minutes of the open meeting, including's closed sessions, and this form (when required), completed on thi

^{*}This checklist is designed for general use as well as for use at open meethat hold closed meetings on or after 10/1/2017, when a member designal attend the initial open meeting. See GP § 3-213(d). Like the earlier chapters general guidance, does not guarantee "compliance" with every 1 Meetings Act, and will be revised occasionally.