

**2018 Baltimore City Charter Review Commission
Budget and Finance Subcommittee**

City Hall, Conference Room 2

March 27, 2018

In attendance:

Steward Beckham, Comptroller's Office

John Brothers, T. Rowe Price

Ann Cotten, University of Baltimore

Elena DiPietro, Baltimore City Law Department

Ellen Janes, Central Baltimore Partnership (*by phone*)

Bill McCarthy, Catholic Charities

Sharon Pinder, Capital Region Minority Supplier Development Council (*by phone*)

Laurie Schwartz, Waterfront Partnership (*by phone*)

Ned Sertsu, University of Baltimore (*by phone*)

Brandon K. Wharton, Greater Baltimore Committee

- The meeting was called to order at 4:06 PM. The chairs verified that a quorum was present.
- The subcommittee approved the minutes of its March 13 meeting.
- The subcommittee reviewed items that it will forward to the coordinating committee for charter inclusion and discussed general observations that should also be forwarded.
- The meeting was adjourned at 5:38 PM.

**COMPLIANCE CHECKLIST
FOR MEETINGS SUBJECT TO THE MARYLAND OPEN MEETINGS ACT ***

CHARTER REVIEW -
Name of public body BUDGET + FINANCE Date of Meeting: 03/20/18
03/27/18

1. ☒ Did you give "reasonable advance notice" and keep a copy or screenshot?
2. ☒ Did you make an agenda available when notice was posted, or, if not yet determined, as soon as practicable, but at least 24 hours before the meeting?
3. ☒ Did you make arrangements for the public to attend?
4. ☒ Is someone prepared to keep minutes in writing or, otherwise, to run the equipment for minutes in the form of live and archived video or audio streaming?
5. N/A If part of this meeting might be closed to the public, have you first:
 - ☐ Made sure that the public body designated a member to take training in the Act? (eff. 10/1/17)
 - ☐ Made sure that the topic to be discussed falls entirely within one or more of the 14 "exceptions" that allow the closed session? (see over for the list)
 - ☐ Given notice of the open meeting to be held right before the closed session, so that the presiding officer can hold the required public vote to close?
 - ☐ Made sure that the initial open meeting will be attended by a member designated to take training in the Act, and, if a designated member cannot attend, made sure that the public body is ready to complete this compliance checklist at the open meeting and keep it to attach to the minutes? (eff. 10/1/17)
 - ☐ Equipped the presiding officer to prepare a written statement with the required disclosures? (for a model form with instructions, go to <http://www.marylandattorneygeneral.gov/Pages/OpenGov/Openmeetings/default.aspx>)
 - ☐ Equipped the presiding officer to limit the closed session discussion to the exceptions and topics cited on the written closing statement?
 - ☐ Arranged for closed-session minutes to be kept and adopted as sealed?
 - ☐ Equipped someone in the closed session to keep a record of each item of information that must be disclosed in the minutes of the next open meeting? (for the list, see the model closing statement).
 - ☐ For a meeting recessed to hold a closed administrative session, arranged to disclose, in the minutes of the next open meeting, the date, time, and place, persons present, and subjects discussed?
6. ☒ Have you arranged for the preparation, the adoption as soon as practicable, and posting online if practicable, of minutes of the open meeting, including summaries of any prior closed sessions, and this form (when required), completed on this side?

**This checklist is designed for general use as well as for use at open meetings of public bodies that hold closed meetings on or after 10/1/2017, when a member designated for training cannot attend the initial open meeting. See GP § 3-213(d). Like the earlier checklists, this checklist gives general guidance, does not guarantee "compliance" with every provision of the Open Meetings Act, and will be revised occasionally.*